

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/786,915	02/25/2004	Tiang Fong Han	67,063-020	2087	
26096	7590 01/05/2005		EXAMINER		
CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C. 400 WEST MAPLE ROAD			FIDEI, DAVID		
SUITE 350	MAPLE ROAD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
BIRMINGH	IAM, MI 48009		3728		
			DATE MAILED: 01/05/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/786,9	15	HAN, TIANG FO	ONG			
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
		David T.	Fidei	3728				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicate or Reply	ion appears on th	e cover sheet with	the correspondence a	nddress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA' nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) data period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, treply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no exation. ys, a reply within the stary period will apply and voty statute, cause the apply statute, cause the apply statute.	vent, however, may a repl tutory minimum of thirty (vill expire SIX (6) MONTH blication to become ABAN	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered tim 4S from the mailing date of this NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		-						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n						
2a)[_	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is r	non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims	•			,			
5)	Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Ex The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 February 200</u> Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	<u>4</u> is/are: a)⊠ ac n to the drawing(s) correction is requi	be held in abeyance red if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 (CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen								
	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	948)	4) Interview Sur Paper No(s)/I	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date				
3) 🔀 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO or No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/25/04</u> .		_	ormal Patent Application (P	TO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/786,915

Art Unit: 3728

Reissue Applications

- 1. The amendment filed February 25, 2004 proposes amendments by adding claims 14-23 that do not comply with 37 CFR 1.173(b), which sets forth the manner of making amendments in reissue applications. A supplemental paper correctly amending the reissue application is required.
- 2. The original patent, or a statement as to loss or inaccessibility of the original patent, must be received before this reissue application can be allowed. See 37 CFR 1.178.
- 3. The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective because it fails to appropriately identify at least one error which is relied upon to support the reissue application. See 37 CFR 1.175(a)(1) and MPEP § 1414.

The differences between the new claims from the originally claims must be pointed out.

4. Claims 1-23 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251 as set forth above. See 37 CFR 1.175.

The nature of the defect(s) in the declaration is set forth in the discussion above in this Office action.

5. Claims 14-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 251 as being an improper recapture of broadened claimed subject matter surrendered in the application for the patent upon which the present reissue is based. See *Pannu v. Storz Instruments Inc.*, 258 F.3d 1366, 59 USPQ2d 1597 (Fed. Cir. 2001); *Hester Industries, Inc.* v. *Stein, Inc.*, 142 F.3d 1472, 46 USPQ2d 1641 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Clement,* 131 F.3d 1464, 45 USPQ2d 1161 (Fed. Cir. 1997); *Ball Corp.* v. *United States*, 729 F.2d 1429, 1436, 221 USPQ 289, 295 (Fed. Cir. 1984). A broadening aspect is present in the reissue which was not present in the application for patent. The record of the application for the patent shows that the broadening aspect (in the reissue) relates to subject matter that applicant previously surrendered during the prosecution of the application. Accordingly, the narrow scope of the claims in the patent was not an error within the meaning of

Application/Control Number: 10/786,915

Art Unit: 3728

35 U.S.C. 251, and the broader scope surrendered in the application for the patent cannot be recaptured by the filing of the present reissue application.

The subject matter in original claim 14 recited "at least one indicator means for engagement with the engagement means, the or each at least one indicator means including means to provide information visually or tactually to an observer regarding the carrier or the articles supported thereon or both", claim 1 of the original application maturing to Patent no. 6,349,832. Claim 4 in said application recited the carrier of claim 1 wherein "the or each one indicator means includes a first part adapted to engage the main body and a second part attached to the first part". Claim 5 in said application further recited the carrier of claim 4 wherein "the second part is releasably attached to the first part".

In the amendment filed August 10, 2001, paper no. 6, in now Patent no. 6,349,832, page 4 of applicant's remarks states "The rejection of claims 1-3, 11 and 12 under section 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated (by Conboy et al Patent no. 5,560,775) is believed to have rendered moot by new claim 14 (present claim 1 in Patent no. 6,349,832), a clarified version (a rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, was also applied to claims 1, 4 & 5) of claim 1 which substantially includes the imitations of claims 4 and 5 therein (emphasis mine)".

This subject matter is set forth in Patent no. 6,349,832 claim 1 as "at least one indicator means for engagement with the engagement means, the at least one indicator means providing information to an observer regarding the matrix tray carrier or the articles supported thereon, the at least one indicator means having a first rider part adapted to engage the engagement means of the main body and a second stud part releasably attachable to the first rider part". Newly presented claim 14 is broader¹ than patented claim 1 in this aspect by omitting the aforementioned subject matter, which represents recapture of subject matter previously surrendered².

¹ A reissue claim is broadened where some limitation of the patent claims is no longer required in the reissue claim; see MPEP § 1412.03.

² If an original patent claim limitation now being omitted or broadened in the present reissue application was originally relied upon by applicant in the original application to make the claims allowable over the art, the omitted limitation relates to subject matter previously surrendered by applicant; see MPEP § 1412.02(I)(1)(A).

Application/Control Number: 10/786,915

Art Unit: 3728

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David T. Fidei whose telephone number is (571) 272-4553. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David T. Fidei Primary Examiner Art Unit 3728

dtf September 23, 2004